

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/707,496		NGUYEN ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	Hal I. Kaplan		2836		

All Participants:

(1) Hal I. Kaplan.

(2) William T. Cook.

Date of Interview: 25 October 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative approved Examiner's Amendment to correct dependency of claim 10 from canceled claim 9.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Claims 1-8, 10-15, 18 and 22-36 allowed.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:30 p.m.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)